

MULTI-INSTANTON MEASURE FROM RECURSION RELATIONS IN $N=2$ SUPERSYMMETRIC YANG-MILLS THEORY

MARCO MATONE

*Department of Physics “G. Galilei” - Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare
University of Padova
Via Marzolo, 8 - 35131 Padova, Italy
matone@pd.infn.it*

ABSTRACT

By using the recursion relations found in the framework of $N = 2$ Super Yang-Mills theory with gauge group $SU(2)$, we reconstruct the structure of the instanton moduli space and its volume form for all winding numbers. The construction is reminiscent of the Deligne-Knudsen-Mumford compactification and uses an analogue of the Wolpert restriction phenomenon which arises in the case of moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces.

In [1] the entire nonperturbative contribution to the holomorphic part of the Wilsonian effective action was computed for $N = 2$ supersymmetric theories with gauge group $SU(2)$, using ansätze dictated by physical intuitions. There are several aspects of the Seiberg-Witten (SW) model [1] which are related to the theory of moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces. In particular, here, we will consider the recursion relations for nonperturbative (instanton) contributions to the $N = 2$ Super Yang-Mills (SYM) effective prepotential [2] and will compare them with the recursion relations for the Weil-Petersson (WP) volumes of punctured Riemann spheres. In the SW model there exists a relation the modulus $u = \langle \text{Tr} \phi^2 \rangle$ and the effective prepotential [2] (see also [3]). This allowed to prove the SW conjecture by using the reflection symmetry of vacua [4]. On the other hand, it is rather surprising that, while on one side all the instanton coefficients have been computed in [2], explicit calculations have been performed only in the one and two-instanton background [5, 6, 7], while the above mentioned relation has been shown to hold to all instanton orders [8, 9]. The problem for instanton number $k \geq 3$ seems extremely difficult to solve. Indeed, the ADHM constraint equations become nonlinear and have not been explicitly solved up to now. Moreover, neither the structure of the moduli space, nor the volume form are known. The instanton measure for all winding numbers has been written in [10], but only in an implicit form (*i.e.* by implementing the bosonic and fermionic ADHM constraints through the use of Dirac delta functions), which in some special cases allows to extract information on the instanton moduli space [11]. However, the mathematical challenging problem of finding the explicit structure of the instanton moduli space for generic winding numbers still remains unsolved. On the other hand, the simple way in which the recursion relations have been derived, strongly suggests that there may be some mechanism which should make the explicit calculations possible. The investigation of such mechanism would provide important information on the structure of the instanton moduli space (of which only the boundary à la Donaldson-Uhlenbeck is known for generic winding number [12, 13, 14]) and of the associated volume form. In particular, even if the integrals seem impossible to compute, (actually, as we stated before we know neither the structure of the space nor the volume form), the existence of recursion relations and the simple way in which they arise, seem to suggest that these integrals could be easy to compute because of some underlying geometrical recursive structure. It has been claimed for some time, but only recently proven [15], that the nonperturbative contributions to u actually can be written as total derivatives, *i.e.* as pure boundary terms, on the moduli space. If the boundary is composed by moduli spaces of instantons of lower winding number times zero-size instantons moduli spaces, as it happens in the Donaldson-Uhlenbeck compactification, this would immediately provide, in the case of a suitable volume form, a recursion relation.

We will now see how the similar problem one finds in computing the WP volumes of punctured

spheres has been solved thanks to the recursive structure of the Deligne-Knudsen-Mumford (DKM) boundary and to the peculiar nature of the WP 2-form. The main analogy we will display, concerns the volume of moduli space of n -punctured Riemann spheres $\Sigma_{0,n} = \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$, $n \geq 3$, where $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \equiv \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. Their moduli space is the space of classes of isomorphic $\Sigma_{0,n}$'s, that is

$$\mathcal{M}_{0,n} = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}^n \mid z_j \neq z_k \text{ for } j \neq k\} / \text{Symm}(n) \times PSL(2, \mathbb{C}) \quad , \quad (1)$$

where $\text{Symm}(n)$ acts by permuting $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ whereas $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts as a linear fractional transformation. Using $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ symmetry we can recover the “standard normalization”: $z_{n-2} = 0$, $z_{n-1} = 1$ and $z_n = \infty$. The classical Liouville tensor or Fuchsian projective connection is

$$T^F(z) = \left\{ J_H^{-1}, z \right\} = \varphi_{dz} - \frac{1}{2} \varphi_{dz}^2 \quad . \quad (2)$$

In the case of the punctured Riemann sphere we have

$$T^F(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{2(z - z_k)^2} + \frac{c_k}{z - z_k} \right) \quad , \quad (3)$$

where the coefficients $c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}$, called *accessory parameters*, satisfy the constraints

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} c_j = 0 \quad , \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} z_j c_j = 1 - \frac{n}{2} \quad . \quad (4)$$

These parameters are defined on the space

$$V^{(n)} = \{(z_1, \dots, z_{n-3}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-3} \mid z_j \neq 0, 1 \quad ; \quad z_j \neq z_k \quad , \text{ for } j \neq k\} \quad . \quad (5)$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{M}_{0,n} \cong V^{(n)} / \text{Symm}(n) \quad , \quad (6)$$

where the action of $\text{Symm}(n)$ on $V^{(n)}$ is defined by comparing (1) with (6).

Let us now consider the compactification $\overline{V}^{(n)}$ à la DKM [16][17]. The divisor at the boundary

$$D = \overline{V}^{(n)} \setminus V^{(n)} \quad , \quad (7)$$

decomposes in the sum of divisors $D_1, \dots, D_{[n/2]-1}$, which are subvarieties of real dimension $2n - 8$. The locus D_k consists of surfaces that split, upon removal of the node, into two Riemann spheres with $k+2$ and $n-k$ punctures. In particular, D_k consists of $C(k)$ copies of the space $\overline{V}^{(k+2)} \times \overline{V}^{(n-k)}$ where $C(k) = \binom{n}{k+1}$, for $k = 1, \dots, (n-3)/2$, n odd. In the case of even n the unique difference

is for $k = n/2 - 1$, for which we have $C(n/2 - 1) = \frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{n/2}$. An important property of the divisors D_k 's is that their image provides a basis in $H_{2n-8}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}, \mathbb{R})$. The WP volume is

$$\text{Vol}_{WP}(\mathcal{M}_{0,n}) = \frac{1}{(n-3)!} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{0,n}} \omega_{WP}^{(n)}{}^{n-3} = \frac{1}{(n-3)!} [\omega_{WP}^{(n)}]^{n-3} \cap [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}] \quad , \quad (8)$$

where $\cap$ is topological cup product. It has been shown that [17]

$$\text{Vol}_{WP}(\mathcal{M}_{0,n}) = \frac{1}{n!} \text{Vol}_{WP}(V^{(n)}) = \frac{\pi^{2(n-3)} V_n}{n!(n-3)!} \quad , \quad n \geq 4 \quad , \quad (9)$$

where $V_n = \pi^{2(3-n)} [\omega_{WP}^{(n)}]^{n-3} \cap [\overline{V}^{(n)}]$ satisfies the recursion relations

$$V_3 = 1 \quad , \quad V_n = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-3} \frac{k(n-k-2)}{n-1} \binom{n}{k+1} \binom{n-4}{k-1} V_{k+2} V_{n-k} \quad , \quad n \geq 4. \quad (10)$$

These relations are a consequence of two basic properties. The first one is that the boundary of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}$ in the DKM compactification is the union of product of moduli spaces of lower order. The second one is the restriction phenomenon satisfied by the WP 2-form. A property discovered by Wolpert in [18] (see also the Appendix of [19]). The basic idea is to start with the natural embedding

$$i : \overline{V}^{(m)} \rightarrow \overline{V}^{(m)} \times * \rightarrow \overline{V}^{(m)} \times \overline{V}^{(n-m+2)} \rightarrow \partial \overline{V}^{(n)} \rightarrow \overline{V}^{(n)} \quad , \quad n > m \quad , \quad (11)$$

where $*$ is an arbitrary point in $\overline{V}^{(n-m+2)}$, it follows that [18]

$$[\omega_{WP}^{(m)}] = i^* [\omega_{WP}^{(n)}] \quad , \quad n > m \quad . \quad (12)$$

There is a similarity between the above recursion relations for the WP volumes and the recursion relations satisfied by the instanton coefficients. To see this let us recall that, in the case of the WP volumes, it has been derived in [19] a nonlinear ODE satisfied by the generating function for the WP volumes

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} a_k x^{k-1} \quad , \quad (13)$$

where

$$a_k = \frac{V_k}{(k-1)((k-3)!)^2} \quad , \quad k \geq 3 \quad , \quad (14)$$

so that (10) assumes the simple form

$$a_3 = 1/2 \quad , \quad a_n = \frac{1}{2} \frac{n(n-2)}{(n-1)(n-3)} \sum_{k=1}^{n-3} a_{k+2} a_{n-k} \quad , \quad n \geq 4 \quad . \quad (15)$$

These recursion relations have been the starting point to formulate a nonperturbative model of Liouville quantum gravity as Liouville F-model [19] (see also [20]). In particular, this formulation has been obtained as a deformation of WP volumes. Furthermore, it has been conjectured that the relevant integrations on the moduli space of higher genus Riemann surfaces reduce to integrations on the moduli space of punctured Riemann spheres (see also [21][22][23]). One can check that Eq.(15) implies that the function g satisfies the ODE [19]

$$x(x-g)g'' = xg'^2 + (x-g)g' . \quad (16)$$

Remarkably, it has been shown in [21] that this nonlinear ODE is essentially the inverse of a linear one. More precisely, defining $g = x^2 \partial_x x^{-1} h$, one has that (16) implies

$$xh'' - h' = (xh' - h)h'' . \quad (17)$$

Differentiating (17) we get

$$yy'' = xy^3 , \quad (18)$$

where $y = h'$. Then, interchanging the rôles of x and y , (18) transforms into the Bessel equation

$$y \frac{d^2 x}{dy^2} + x = 0 . \quad (19)$$

It has been suggested in [21] that the appearance of such a linear ODE may be related to the “mirror phenomenon”. The above structure is reminiscent of the above derived in SW theory. In particular, in the case of WP volumes one starts evaluating the recursion relations by means of the DKM compactification and the Wolpert restriction phenomenon [17], then derives the associated nonlinear ODE [19] and end to a linear ODE [21] which is obtained by essentially inverting it. In the SW model, one starts by observing that the $a^D(u)$ and $a(u)$ moduli satisfy a linear ODE [24], inverts it to obtain a nonlinear one satisfied by $u(a)$ and then finds recursion relations for the coefficients of the expansion of $u(a)$ [2]. The final point stems from the observation [2] that u and $\mathcal{F}$ are related in a simple way which allows one to consider the derived recursion relation as a relation for the instanton contributions to the prepotential $\mathcal{F}$. The above similarity suggests to reconstruct the instanton moduli space and its measure starting from the recursion relations [2]

$$\mathcal{G}_{n+1} = \frac{1}{8\mathcal{G}_0^2(n+1)^2} \left[(2n-1)(4n-1)\mathcal{G}_n + 2\mathcal{G}_0 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_{k,n} \mathcal{G}_{n-k} \mathcal{G}_{k+1} - 2 \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{j+1} d_{j,k,n} \mathcal{G}_{n-j} \mathcal{G}_{j+1-k} \mathcal{G}_k \right] , \quad (20)$$

where $n \geq 0$, $\mathcal{G}_0 = 1/2$ and

$$c_{k,n} = 2k(n-k-1) + n-1 , \quad d_{j,k,n} = [2(n-j)-1][2n-3j-1+2k(j-k+1)] . \quad (21)$$

It is still possible to rewrite some apparently cubic terms in the third term on the r.h.s. as quadratic ones and absorb them in the second term on the r.h.s. of (20), obtaining thus

$$\mathcal{G}_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2(n+1)^2} \left[(2n-1)(4n-1)\mathcal{G}_n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_{k,n} \mathcal{G}_{n-k} \mathcal{G}_{k+1} - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^j d_{j,k,n} \mathcal{G}_{n-j} \mathcal{G}_{j+1-k} \mathcal{G}_k \right] , \quad (22)$$

where $b_{k,n} = c_{k,n} - 2d_{k,0,n}$ and we have exploited the fact that $d_{k,0,n} = d_{k,k+1,n}$. Let us now consider the volume $\mathcal{G}_n$ of the moduli space of an instanton configuration of winding number n . We now start showing that $\mathcal{G}_n$ can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{G}_n = \int_{\overline{V}_I^{(n)}} \bigwedge_{k=1}^{X(n)} \omega_I^{(n)} = [\omega_I^{(n)}]^{X(n)} \cap [\overline{V}_I^{(n)}] , \quad (23)$$

where $\omega_I^{(n)}$ is a 2-form defined on the n -instanton moduli space and $\overline{V}_I^{(n)}$ is a suitable compactification of $V_I^{(n)}$, which, together its complex dimension $X(n)$, will be fixed later. Let $\mathcal{D}_\omega^{(n+1)}$ be the $[2X(n+1) - 2]$ -cycle Poincaré dual to the “instanton” class $[\omega_I^{(n+1)}]$. That is, $[\omega_I^{(n+1)}] = c_1([\mathcal{D}_\omega^{(n+1)}])$ where, as usual, $[\mathcal{D}]$ denotes the line bundle associated to a given divisor $\mathcal{D}$ (see, for example, [25]) and c_1 denotes the first Chern class. By Poincaré duality it is possible to recast (23) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{n+1} &= [\omega_I^{(n+1)}]^{X(n+1)-1} \cap ([\omega_I^{(n+1)}] \cap [\overline{V}_I^{(n+1)}]) = [\omega_I^{(n+1)}]^{X(n+1)-1} \cap [\mathcal{D}_\omega^{(n+1)} \cdot \overline{V}_I^{(n+1)}] \\ &= [\omega_I^{(n+1)}]^{X(n+1)-1} \cap [\mathcal{D}_\omega^{(n+1)}] , \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where $\cdot$ denotes the topological intersection. In order to make contact with the recursion relation for the $\mathcal{G}_n$'s, we define the following compactification

$$\mathcal{D}^{(n+1)} = \overline{V}_I^{(n+1)} / V_I^{(n+1)} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{D}_{1,j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^j \mathcal{D}_{2,j,k} + \mathcal{D}_{3,n} , \quad (25)$$

in the sense of cycles on orbifolds, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{1,j} &= c_{n,j}^{(1)} \overline{V}_I^{(n-j)} \times \overline{V}_I^{(j+1)} , \\ \mathcal{D}_{2,j,k} &= c_{n,j,k}^{(2)} \overline{V}_I^{(n-j)} \times \overline{V}_I^{(j+1-k)} \times \overline{V}_I^{(k)} \times \overline{V}_I^{(1)} , \\ \mathcal{D}_{3,n} &= c_n^{(3)} \overline{V}_I^{(n)} \times \overline{V}_I^{(1)} . \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Observe that in the above decomposition the products of subvarieties generally appear twice. Furthermore, note that we used $\mathcal{D}_{3,n}$ to simplify the calculations, however it can be included either in $\mathcal{D}_{1,0}$ or $\mathcal{D}_{1,n-1}$ by changing the coefficients. Let us now expand $\mathcal{D}_\omega^{(n+1)}$ in terms of the divisors at the boundary of the moduli space, namely

$$\mathcal{D}_\omega^{(n+1)} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d_{n,j}^{(1)} \mathcal{D}_{1,j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^j d_{n,j,k}^{(2)} \mathcal{D}_{2,j,k} + d_n^{(3)} \mathcal{D}_{3,n} . \quad (27)$$

One can see that a check on the outlined procedure uniquely fixes $X(n)$ to be

$$X(n) = 2n - 1 \quad . \quad (28)$$

By (24) we have

$$\mathcal{G}_{n+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d_{n,j}^{(1)} [\omega_I^{(n+1)}]^{2n} \cap [\mathcal{D}_{1,j}] + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^j d_{n,j,k}^{(2)} [\omega_I^{(n+1)}]^{2n} \cap [\mathcal{D}_{2,j,k}] + d_n^{(3)} [\omega_I^{(n+1)}]^{2n} \cap [\mathcal{D}_{3,n}] \quad . \quad (29)$$

Let us consider the following natural embedding

$$i : \overline{V}_I^{(m)} \rightarrow \overline{V}_I^{(m)} \times * \rightarrow \overline{V}_I^{(m)} \times \overline{V}_I^{(n-m)} \rightarrow \partial \overline{V}_I^{(n)} \rightarrow \overline{V}_I^{(n)} \quad , \quad n > m \quad , \quad (30)$$

where $*$ is an arbitrary point in $\overline{V}_I^{(n-m)}$. We now impose the following constraint

$$[\omega_I^{(m)}] = i^* [\omega_I^{(n)}] \quad , \quad n > m \quad . \quad (31)$$

Let us elaborate the three terms on the r.h.s. of (29). By (30) and (31) the general contribution in the first term reads

$$\begin{aligned} [\omega_I^{(n+1)}]^{2n} \cap [\overline{V}_I^{(n-j)} \times \overline{V}_I^{(j+1)}] &= [\omega_I^{(n-j)} + \omega_I^{(j+1)}]^{2n} \cap [\overline{V}_I^{(n-j)} \times \overline{V}_I^{(j+1)}] \\ &= C_{j,n} ([\omega_I^{(n-j)}]^{2(n-j)-1} \cap [\overline{V}_I^{(n-j)}]) ([\omega_I^{(j+1)}]^{2j+1} \cap [\overline{V}_I^{(j+1)}]) = C_{j,n} \mathcal{G}_{n-j} \mathcal{G}_{j+1} \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where $C_{j,n} = \binom{2n}{2(n-j)-1}$. The second term has the form

$$\begin{aligned} &[\omega_I^{(n+1)}]^{2n} \cap [\overline{V}_I^{(n-j)} \times \overline{V}_I^{(j+1-k)} \times \overline{V}_I^{(k)} \times \overline{V}_I^{(1)}] \\ &= [\omega_I^{(n-j)} + \omega_I^{(j+1-k)} + \omega_I^{(k)} + \omega_I^{(1)}]^{2n} \cap [\overline{V}_I^{(n-j)} \times \overline{V}_I^{(j+1-k)} \times \overline{V}_I^{(k)} \times \overline{V}_I^{(1)}] \\ &= D_{j,n,k} ([\omega_I^{(n-j)}]^{2(n-j)-1} \cap [\overline{V}_I^{(n-j)}]) ([\omega_I^{(j+1-k)}]^{2(j-k)+1} \cap [\overline{V}_I^{(j+1-k)}]) ([\omega_I^{(k)}]^{2k} \cap [\overline{V}_I^{(k)}]) ([\omega_I^{(1)}] \cap [\overline{V}_I^{(1)}]) \\ &= \frac{D_{j,n,k}}{4} \mathcal{G}_{n-j} \mathcal{G}_{j+1-k} \mathcal{G}_k \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where $D_{j,n,k} = 2k \binom{2n}{2k} \binom{2n-2k}{2(n-j)-1}$ and we used the fact that $\mathcal{G}_1 = 1/4$. Finally, the last term is

$$[\omega_I^{(n+1)}]^{2n} \cap [\overline{V}_I^{(n)} \times \overline{V}_I^{(1)}] = \frac{n}{2} \mathcal{G}_n \quad . \quad (34)$$

In this way we can recast the recursion relations as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{n+1} &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{2n}{2(n-k)-1} d_{n,k}^{(1)} c_{n,k}^{(1)} \mathcal{G}_{n-k} \mathcal{G}_{k+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^j \frac{k}{2} \binom{2n}{2k} \\ &\quad \cdot \binom{2(n-k)}{2(n-j)-1} d_{n,j,k}^{(2)} c_{n,j,k}^{(2)} \mathcal{G}_{n-j} \mathcal{G}_{j+1-k} \mathcal{G}_k + \frac{n}{2} d_n^{(3)} c_n^{(3)} \mathcal{G}_n \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

where now $n \geq 1$ (and $\mathcal{G}_1 = 1/4$). Comparing with (22) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{n,k}^{(1)} c_{n,k}^{(1)} \binom{2n}{2(n-k)-1} &= \frac{b_{k,n}}{2(n+1)^2} \quad , \\ d_{n,j,k}^{(2)} c_{n,j,k}^{(2)} \binom{2n}{2k} \binom{2(n-k)}{2(n-j)-1} &= -\frac{2d_{j,k,n}}{k(n+1)^2} \quad , \\ d_n^{(3)} c_n^{(3)} &= \frac{(2n-1)(4n-1)}{n(n+1)^2} \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank D. Bellisai for many fruitful discussions. Work partly supported by the European Commission TMR programme ERBFMRX-CT96-0045.

References

- [1] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B426** (1994) 19; *ibid.* **B431** (1994) 484.
- [2] M. Matone, Phys. Lett. **B357** (1995) 342; Phys. Rev. **D53** (1996) 7354.
- [3] J. Sonnenschein, S. Theisen and S. Yankielowicz, Phys. Lett. **367** (1996) 145. T. Eguchi and S.-K. Yang, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A11** (1996) 131. G. Bonelli and M. Matone, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76** (1996) 4107; *ibid.* **77** (1996) 4712. P.S. Howe and P.C. West, Nucl. Phys. **486** (1996) 425. E. D'Hoker, I. M. Krichever and D. H. Phong, Nucl. Phys. **B494** (1997) 89. J.D. Edelstein, M. Marino and J. Mas, Nucl. Phys. **B541** (1999) 671. G. Chan and E. D'Hoker, Nucl. Phys. **564** (2000) 503. J.D. Edelstein, M. Gomez-Reino and J. Mas, Nucl. Phys. **B561** (1999) 273. J.D. Edelstein, M. Gomez-Reino, M. Marino and J. Mas, Nucl. Phys. **B574** (2000) 587.
- [4] G. Bonelli, M. Matone and M. Tonin, Phys. Rev. **D55** (1997) 6466.
- [5] D. Finnell and P. Pouliot, Nucl. Phys. **B453** (1995) 225.
- [6] N. Dorey, V. V. Khoze and M. P. Mattis, Phys. Rev. **D54** (1996) 2921; Phys. Rev. **D54** (1996) 7832.
- [7] F. Fucito and G. Travaglini, Phys. Rev. **D55** (1997) 1099.
- [8] N. Dorey, V. V. Khoze and M. P. Mattis, Phys. Lett. **B390** (1997) 205.
- [9] P. S. Howe and P. C. West, Nucl. Phys. **B486** (1997) 425.

- [10] N. Dorey, V. V. Khoze and M. P. Mattis, Nucl. Phys. **B513** (1998) 681. N. Dorey, T. J. Hollowood, V. V. Khoze and M. P. Mattis, Nucl. Phys. **B519** (1998) 470.
- [11] N. Dorey, V. V. Khoze, M. P. Mattis and S. Vandoren, Phys. Lett. **B442** (1998) 145. N. Dorey, T. J. Hollowood, V. V. Khoze, M. P. Mattis and S. Vandoren, JHEP **9906** (1999) 023.
- [12] D. S. Freed and K. K. Uhlenbeck, *Instantons and Four-Manifolds*, Springer, New York (1984) (Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, 1).
- [13] S. K. Donaldson and P. B. Kronheimer, *The Geometry of Four-Manifolds*, Oxford University Press (1990).
- [14] A. Maciocia, Comm. Math. Phys. **135** (1991) 467.
- [15] D. Bellisai, F. Fucito, A. Tanzini and G. Travaglini, JHEP **0007** (2000) 017; Phys. Lett. **B480** (2000) 365.
- [16] P. Deligne and D. Mumford, IHES Publ. Math. **36** (1969) 75. F. Knudsen and D. Mumford, Math. Scand. **39** (1976) 19. F. Knudsen, Math. Scand. **52** (1983) 200.
- [17] P.G. Zograf, Cont. Math. Amer. AMS **150** (1993) 267.
- [18] S.A. Wolpert, Ann. of Math. **118** (1983) 491; Amer. J. Math. **107** (1985) 969.
- [19] M. Matone, J. Geom. Phys. **21** (1997) 381.
- [20] G. Bonelli, P.A. Marchetti and M. Matone, Phys. Lett. **B339** (1994) 49; Lett. Math. Phys. **36** (1996) 189.
- [21] M. Kontsevich and Yu. Manin (with Appendix by R. Kaufmann), Inv. Math. **124** (1996) 313. R. Kaufmann, Yu. Manin and D. Zagier, Comm. Math. Phys. **181** (1996) 763.
- [22] A. Givental, math.AG/0008067.
- [23] J.S. Song and Y.S. Song, hep-th/0103254.
- [24] A. Klemm, W. Lerche and S. Theisen, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A11** (1996) 1929.
- [25] Ph. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Principles of Algebraic Geometry*, J. Wiley, New York, 1978.